

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/915,024	07/25/2001	Raymond R. Husted	01AB067	7026	
75	90 05/11/2004		EXAM	INER	
Alexander M. Gerasimow			SONG, JASMINE		
Rockwell Autor	nation (Allen-Bradley, I ond Street	nc.)	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Milwaukee, Wi			2188		
			DATE MAILED: 05/11/2004	, Y	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

X

			<b>S</b> /
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Ol -
Advison, Astion	09/915,024	HUSTED ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jasmine Song	2188	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 27 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applic (1) a timely filed amendment whic	cation. A proper reply th places the applica	y to a Ition in
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expired ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAY 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the O timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	s Advisory Action, or (2) the date set fortice later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 Clot of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply ffice later than three months after the maintenance.	ng date of the final rejecting the FINAL REJECTION.  FR 1.136(a) and the approposition of the fee. The appropriation of the final the fi	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CI			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	s.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		idered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims v	· · ·	•	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	<b>):</b>		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	, ,		

Continuation of 10. Other: The arguments filed on 04/27/2004 regarding all independent claims are not persuasive, the Examiner maintains the position that the presently claims would be rejectable on the same grounds provided in the final rejection mailed on 02/24/2004. Response to the applicant's arguments"the claimed invention claims storing a portion of the forced I/O values in the cache memory, the force I/O value is static I/O force data and configuration data which is different than the dynamic input and output data generated by I/O devices", However, it is noted that the applicant does not claim the static I/O force data and configuration data in all independent claims, the applicant only claims the forced I/O values which utilizing the infrequently changed data. Therefore, broadly written claims are disclosed by the reference cited.

MANO PADMANABHAN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

2